# **Historic, Archive Document**

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.



281.3449

# Federal Milk Order Market Statistics

APRIL 1961 SUMMARY

Issued June 1961

U. S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE
NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL LIPEAR
DEC 1 1 1964

APRIL HIGHLIGHTS

CURRENT SERIAL RECORDS

RECEIPTS FROM PRODUCERS UP 4.5 PERCENT; CLASS I SALES DOWN 1.7 PERCENT

MINIMUM CLASS I PRICE \$4.72; MINIMUM BLEND PRICE \$4.02

DIETARY DRINK SALES CONTINUE DOWNWARD TREND

# IN-AREA WHOLE MILK SALES DOWN 1.0 PERCENT

مير APPROXIMATELY 63 PERCENT OF \* \* MILK DELIVERED BY PRODUCERS \* 4 USED IN CLASS I Ze. \* \* In 58 markets regulated under Federal milk orders for the entire five year period, 1956-60, handlers \* each year used an average of about 63 percent of × total producer deliveries for Class I products. In k 1960, total producer deliveries in the 58 markets ٠, were 23.7 percent higher than in 1956. Producer receipts used in Class I during this period increased by 23.5 percent × See page 5 

Table No	<u>.</u>	Page No.
1.	Percentage of receipts from producers used in Class I by handlers regulated under 58 Federal milk order markets, 1956-60	4
2.	Number of producers, average daily deliveries of milk per producer, and average percentage of butterfat in such milk, Federal order markets, April 1961, with comparisons	6
3.	Federal milk order minimum Class I and market average blend prices for milk at 3.5 percent butterfat content, f.o.b. market or other indicated point, receipts of milk from producers, and producer milk in Class I, April 1961, with comparisons	8
4.	Minimum prices established by Federal milk orders, f.o.b. market or other indicated point, for milk at basic test, with butterfat differentials, April 1961	10
5.	Federal milk order Class I formula price information and gross Class I usage (with comparisons), April 1961 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12
6.	Whole milk, skim milk items, milk and cream mixtures, and cream sold in marketing areas defined by Federal milk orders, for markets where such information is available, March 1961, with comparisons	14
7.	Prices and economic indexes in Federal milk order formulas to determine April 1961 prices, with comparisons	16

### MAJOR ORDER ACTIONS, APRIL 1961

## Amendments:

Austin-Waco (April 1). Class II price changed from the higher of local plant or butter-powder price to higher of butter-powder or Cheddar cheese price, July-March; and from local plant price to higher of butter-powder price minus 14 cents or Cheddar cheese price, April-June.

- <u>Cincinnati</u> (April 1). Amendment enlarges marketing area to include 6 additional counties in Kentucky. Packaged sour cream receipts, if priced as Class I under any other order, or as Class II under the Chicago order, are now allocated to Class I. Operators of unregulated distributing plants now may elect to make compensatory payments on Class I route disposition in the marketing area, or on all milk received from dairy farmers.
- Colorado Springs (April 1). Cooperative associations, with respect to milk delivered to regulated plants in tank trucks controlled by the association, added to handler difinition. New provision creates special Class II price for milk used in butter and hard cheese, April-September 1961. Price is Class II price less 10 cents.

<u>Corpus Christi</u> (April 1). Class II price changed as in Austin-Waco, except pricing periods are July-February and March-June. Also, price in March-June is higher of butter-powder price minus 12 cents or Cheddar cheese price.

Northern Louisiana (April 1). Before amendment, basic formula was highest of Midwest condensery, butter-powder, or local plant prices. Amendment removes local plant option. Class II price changed from higher of butter-powder or local plant price to butter-powder price July-February; and from local plant to butter-powder price minus 5 cents, March-June.

North Texas (April 1). Class II price changed as in Austin-Waco, except April-June price before amendment was higher of butter-powder price minus 20 cents or local plant price.

San Antonio (April 1). Class II price changed as in Austin-Waco.

Suburban St. Louis (April 18). Amendment provides Class II for transfers of non-Grade A cream from regulated to unregulated plants.

### Suspension:

Fort Wayne (April 1). Suspension order raises Class I price 40 cents in April and May 1961.

### APRIL HIGHLIGHTS

Class I sales. Total sales of Class I products, in and out of defined marketing areas, are reported as "Gross Class I" on table 5. The total of such sales by regulated handlers in 75 Federal order markets 1/2 was 2.3 billion pounds, a decrease of 1.7 percent from sales in April 1960. Sales decreased in two-thirds of these markets.

Receipts from producers. Handlers in the 75 market group received 4.5 percent more milk from producers than a year ago. Receipts were up in three-fourths of the markets. In April, with Class I sales down 1.7 percent and producer deliveries up 4.5 percent, the percentage of deliveries used in Class I was 57 percent compared with 60 percent in April 1960.

In Wilmington, producer receipts were up 142.8 percent due to a shift to this market of a large plant previously regulated under the Philadelphia order. This plant shift was also mainly responsible for an increase of 122.3 percent in Class I sales.

Average daily deliveries per producer. Daily deliveries per producer averaged 746 pounds, 7.3 percent higher than daily deliveries a year ago. Deliveries per producer were higher in 70 markets and in all regions. In 13 of the 75 markets, daily deliveries per producer averaged more than 1,000 pounds. The total number of producers making deliveries in April 1961 were 4,947 less than in April of last year. Producer numbers were lower in about three-fourths of the 75 markets.

Minimum prices. The weighted average of minimum Class I prices paid by handlers in the 75 markets for 3.5 percent milk was \$4.72, or four cents higher than in April 1960. The Class I price was higher in 57 and lower in 12 of the 75 markets. The weighted average minimum blend price of \$4.02 was five cents higher than the price a year ago.

Whole milk sales. In 66 markets for which comparable data were available, whole milk sales in March (preliminary) were 1.0 percent below sales in the same month last year. Complete in-area sales data for March are shown in table 6.

<u>Dietary milk sales</u>. As shown by the available data, below, sales of dietary milk products have been declining since reaching a high point in January. The largest drop in sales took place in April, as compared to March, with a drop of about 1.6 million pounds.

Total Sales of Die	tary Milk Drinks by	Regulated Handlers
		Total Sales
Month	Number of Markets	(Produ <b>ct</b> Pounds)
November 1960	16	338,098
December 1960	52	4,120,568
January 1961	57	6,535,954
February 1961	63	6,283,186
March 1961	63	5,790,835
April 1961	63	4,158,083
Total		27,226,724

<sup>1/</sup> Markets in which orders have been in effect continuously since January 1, 1960. Data are comparable, except for the influence of marketing area changes from one year to the next in a few markets.

Table 1.--Percentage of receipts from producers used in Class I by handlers regulated under 58 Federal milk order markets, 1956-60

Region and market:	1956	: 1957	: 1958	: 1959	: 1960	:: Region and market	: 1956	: 1957	: 1958	: 1959	: 1960
•				•							
			Percent				••		Percent	ابد	
NEW ENGLAND						:: WEST NORTH CENTRAL -Con	•:				
Boston	9.99	56.4	54.7	60.5	58.0	:: St. Louis	: 78.8	79.2	80°8	78.7	74.7
Springfield	74.8	76.0	75.0	74.6	74.8	:: Ozarks	: 62.3	67.5	71.1	72.0	70.9
Worcester	86.5	81.5	77.5	77.5	77.0	:: Kansas City	: 71.4	73.4	77.1	72.4	9.07
						:: Neosho Valley	: 69.3	72.0	76.4	70.4	62.1
MIDDLE ATLANTIC						:: Wichita	0.69:	72.5	76.0	68.9	65.3
Now Vork Now Town	7 7 7	53.6	75.1	55.1	51.7	Southwest Kansas	: 73.1	71.2	81.4	76.2	76.2
New lork-new Jersey Dhiladolphia	75.0	74.4	75.5	74.1	72.4		· · · ·				
riittanethiita		1.1		1	;	TAGENER CONTENT OF THE					
						:: EASI SUUIH CENIKAL		. 02	71.	7.0	0 61
SOUTH ATLANTIC						:: Louisville-Lexington	0.69:	1.69	0.17	13.2	73.8
Wheeling	82.2	83.7	80.7	78.6	78.0	:: Paducah	: 92.6	88.2	91.3	94.3	30.5
Clarksburg :	87.7	83.8	78.8	83.4	81.2	:: Nashville	: 75.2	71.6	80.1	81.9	78.3
Tri-State :	83.2	85.3	96.6	88.1	86.0	:: Memphis	: 86.0	89.9	89.5	89.5	88.8
Annalachian	88.3	81.8	87.5	8.06	90.5	:: Knoxville	: 84.7	78.4	83.8	84.0	80.3
						:: Central Mississippi	: 74.1	74.6	79.3	78.6	78.7
EACT NORTH CENTRAL						•					
Harte Michigan	0 77	20	77 8	76 5	71 5	WEST SOITH CENTRAL					
Upstate Michigan	6.11	00.00	0.7.		11.0	WEST SOOTH CENTRAL		1		1 20	7 00
Muskegon	78.0	/8°3	0.07	1.67	/2./	:: Central Arkansas	92.0	91.0	90.4	07.1	00.00
Southern Michigan	71.5	0.69	65.7	63.7	68.3	:: Fort Smith	8.//:	76.5	86.1	80.3	84.2
Toledo :	88.7	88.8	89.1	85.6	83.2	:: Oklahoma Metropolitan	: 75.8	75.3	9.9/	73.6	73.1
Northeastern Ohio :	75.3	75.8	71.4	71.0	69.1	:: Texas Panhandle	: 89.3	89.9	88.2	89.6	85.0
North Central Ohio	76.7	84.7	86.3	9.98	83.5	•••	••				
Columbia	74.1	79.2	79.5	83,1	79.1	:: Northern Louisiana	: 96.5	94.1	94.2	94.5	88.1
Domeston Springfield	74 1	7 47	76.9	80.7	74.0	:: New Orleans	: 67.8	71.8	74.0	73.1	7 .69.
DayLou-Jpringricia	7 2 2 7	7 79	67.3	7 09	65.7	· North Tevas	. 77 6	7 92	9 92	20.6	73.7
Cincinnati	00.0	04.4	67.5	4.40		4		1.00	0.00	0.00	, , ,
		i			(	:: central west lexas	. 02.3	1.00	0.00	000	2.00
Milwaukee :	78.7	79.1	77.4	79.4	79.5	:: Austin-Waco	1.88:	8/./	92.9	93.6	93.1
Rockford-Freeport :	83.9	75.0	79.7	86.3	87.1	:: San Antonio	: 95.7	95.2	88.4	91.0	86.7
Chicago	49.5	47.0	45.0	45.6	42.0	:: Corpus Christi	: 97.5	94.0	94.9	92.4	92.1
South Bend-LaPorte-Elkhart :	75.4	70.8	73.0	77.0	74.2						
Fort Wavne	57.0	61.0	59.9	61.9	63.3	:: MOUNTAIN	••				
						:: Central Arizona	: 89.5	86.0	83.8	77.8	81.2
WEST NORTH CENTRAL						•••	••				
Duluth-Superior:	44.2	44.1	45.5	47.9	53.0	:: PACIFIC	••				
Minneapolis-St. Paul :	67.3	63.8	65.1	68.4	67.0	:: Puget Sound	: 59.7	57.9	54.8	54.1	50.7
Eastern South Dakota :	93.1	89.2	78.4	69.1	9.9/	•••	••				
Sionx Falls-Mitchell	6.99	68.2	66.7	66.7	71.6	:: 58 market average 1/	: 62.3	63.3	63.2	63.7	62.2
Black Hills	83.2	79.0	9.92	78.2	76.4		••				
Dubuque	49.1	45.7	48.6	58.5	59.9	•	••				
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City	55.6	62.1	69.1	83.5	76.7	••	••				
Quad Cities :	54.5	54.1	57.7	59.7	61.3	::	••				
Sioux City	75.2	73.6	78.5	80.7	8.9/	::	••				۰
Omaha-Lincoln-Council Bluffs:		70.8	81.4	85.9	84.2	••					
1/ Markets where orders were	e in ef	Ę.	ing enti	re perio	d. 1956-	.60.					

1/ Markets where orders were in effect during entire period, 1956-60.

In 58 markets regulated under Federal milk orders for the entire five year period, 1956-60, handlers each year used an average of about 63 percent of total producer deliveries for Class I products. The utilization percentages for each market and the 58-market average for each of the years is shown in table 1.

In 1960, total producer deliveries in the 58 markets were 23.7 percent higher than in 1956. This increase in deliveries was matched by about the same increase (23.5 percent) in total Class I sales, with the result that slightly over 62 percent of deliveries was used in Class I in both 1956 and 1960. Total deliveries, Class I sales, and utilization percentages for the 58 markets are shown in the following summary:

Milk Received from Producers, Volume and Percentage of Such Milk Used in Class I  $1956-60 \ \underline{1}/$ 

'ear	Producer	Class I	Percentage of Receipts
	Receipts	Use	in Class I
	1,000 lb.	1,000 lb.	<u>Percent</u>
L956	31,117,165	19,391,728	62.3
1957	32,747,870	20,744,594	63.3
1958	35,004,239	22,129,993	63.2
1959	35,944,085	22,892,482	63.7
1960	38,483,084	23,940,281	62.2

1/58 markets under Federal order regulation entire five year period.

The 58-market average Class I utilization percentages were strongly influenced by relatively low Class I utilization in several large markets. Thus, utilization in most of the individual markets was considerably higher than the all-market average. In fact, the Class I utilization percentage was higher than the average in 50 of the 58 markets in each of the five years, and the average utilization percentage for these 50 markets (1960) was 74.1 percent. Handlers in the other eight markets—New York-New Jersey, Chicago, Boston, Puget Sound, Quad Cities, Duluth-Superior, Dubuque, and Fort Wayne--used an average of only 50 percent of producer deliveries in Class I in 1960.

The classification provisions in some of these markets differ from those in many others and are partially responsible for their relatively low Class I utilization. In Chicago, for example, Class II includes cream and milk and cream mixtures, premium priced products which are classified in Class I in most markets. If these products were in Class I in Chicago, the percentage of deliveries used in Class I in 1960 would have been about 60 percent instead of 45 percent. Since Chicago is the second largest Federal order market, this increase in Class I would also have some effect on the all-market Class I utilization percentage.

About half of the 58 markets, including six of the eight with the lowest utilization percentages, reported relatively higher Class I utilization in 1960 than in 1956.

Table 2.--Number of producers, average daily deliveries of milk per producer, and average percentage of butterfat in such milk, Federal order markets, April 1961, with comparisons

	Number of	producers	: Daily de : per proc	eliveries ducer	Average butter	rfat percentage
Market	April 1961	Change from	: : April 1961	Change from	Apı	ril
	-	April 1960	:	April 1960	1961	: 1960
:	Number	Number	Pounds	<u>Percent</u>	Percent	Percent
NEW ENGLAND						
Boston	9,648	<del>-</del> 776	627	+ 8.5	1/	3.84
Springfield	856	- 67	658	+ 2.2	1/	3.80
Worcester	1,230	+557	683	+ 1.3	<u>1</u> /	3.75
Southeastern N.England:	•	+368	827	- 4.5	3.71	3.69
Connecticut	3,103	- 19 + 63	982 724	+ 6.5	3.71 3.71	3.72
Group <u>2</u> /	17,167	T 03	724	T 0.3	3./1	3.69
MIDDLE ATLANTIC						
New York-New Jersey	48,193	-1,088	702	+ 5.1	3.56	3.58
Philadelphia :	6,795	-532	698	+ 4.2	3.76	3.79
Group 2/	54,988_	-1,620	701	+ 4.9	3,58	3.61
SOUTH ATLANTIC						
Wilmington	505	+256	957	+19.8	3.67	3.76
Upper Chesapeake Bay	2,250	- 43	760	+ 3.5	3.76	3.76
Washington, D. C.	2,237	+ 78	1,242	+ 4.2	3.66	3.69
Wheeling	1,045	-185	424	+11.0	3.83	3.88
Clarksburg :	: 449 : 1,603	- 30 -145	426 466	+ 6.0 +13.1	3.89 3.76	3.90 3.77
Appalachian	904	- 5	708	+ 8.8	3.74	3.74
Southeastern Florida	100	- 4	13,521	+ 5.3	3.91	3.92
Group <u>2</u> /	6,843	- 35	970	+ 9.7	3.75	3.78
EAST NORTH CENTRAL						
Eastern Group Upstate Michigan	265	- 35	638	+ 8.0	3.67	3.77
Muskegon	419	- 8	671	- 1.6	3.71	3.79
Southern Michigan	14,064	-298	630	+ 3.8	3.69	3.68
Toledo	1,153	- 45	852	+14.5	3.75	3.74
Northeastern Ohio		+ 18	547	+ 9.0	3.71	3.71
North Central Ohio		- 44	611	+11.9	3.82	3.83
Columbus : Dayton-Springfield :	1,243 1,884	-447 - 99	771 686	+35.5 +13.8	3.80 3.84	3.83 3.80
Cincinnati	4,120	+143	438	+10.9	3.74	3.76
Group 2/	33,187	-815	600	+ 7,9	3.72	3.72
Western Group		- 96	F2.0	0	2.60	2.62
Mich. Upper Peninsula : Northeastern Wisconsin:		+ 18	523 890	- 1.4	3.69 3.63	3.69 3.68
Milwaukee	1,944	- 7	870	6	3.70	3.73
Rockford-Freeport	203	+ 14	994	+10.6	3.66	3.62
Chicago	18,650	-216	860	+ 1.4	3.61	3.61
S.Bend-LaPorte-Elkhart:		-448	729	+13.7	3.87	3.89
Fort Wayne	710 982	-110 -3 <b>1</b> 9	467 554	+24.9 +15.2	3.77 3.78	3.85 3.78
Ohio Valley Indianapolis	4,407	-313	560	T13.2	3.82	3.70
Suburban St. Louis	1,644		555		3.67	
Group 2/	24,235	-845	838	+ 3.5	3,63	3.64
•						
WEST NORTH CENTRAL						
Northern Group Duluth-Superior	1,056	- 86	519	+ 5.9	3.77	3.82
Minneapolis-St. Paul	3,358	+ 87	894	+ 5.1	3.60	3.64
Eastern South Dakota	119	- 6	894	+14.6	3.41	3.48
Sioux Falls-Mitchell :	314	- 10	926	+ 6.9	3.50	3.51
Black Hills	117	- 5	1,126	+15.1	3.46	3.46
North Central Iowa	867	+ 12	940 755	+ 2.8	3.68	3.67
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City:	: 739 : 770	+ 47 -220	755 874	+ 2.4 +18.8	3.70 3.68	3.69 3.70
Quad Cities-Dubuque : Des Moines :	1,036	- 76	790	+23.4	3.74	3.75
Sioux City	177	+ 12	1,111	+11.5	3.57	3.53
Omaha-Lincoln-C.Bluffs:	1,343	+ 52	749	+14.7	3.67	3.68
Platte Valley	360	+ 17	929	+20.6	3.57	3.55
Group 2/	10,256	<b>-1</b> 76 -	826	+ 9.8	3.64	3.66

Table 2.--Number of producers, average daily deliveries of milk per producer, and average percentage of butter-fat in such milk, Federal order markets, April 1961, with comparisons -Continued

:	Number of	producers	: Daily do	eliveries ducer	Average butte	erfat percentage
Market	April 1961	Change from April 1960	: : April 1961	Change from April 1960	·	pril
		: APITI 1900	<u>:</u>	<u>: :</u>	: 1961	: 1960
:	Number	Number	Pounds	Percent	Percent	Percent
WEST NORTH CENT Con.:						
Southern Group :	2.051	051	716	110.0	2.75	2.72
St. Louis :	3,051	-251 - 70	716 628	+10.8 +20.8	3.75	3.73
Ozarks :	1,001 2,812	- 70 -166	738	+20.0	3.94 3.68	3.92 3.64
Kansas City :	665	- 82	642	+12.0	3.74	3.73
Neosho Valley : Wichita :	950	- 38	847	+17.2	3.63	3.73
Southwest Kansas :	202	- 34	1,071	+34.5	3.63	3.59
Group 2/	8,681	-641	729	+16.3	3.73	3.70
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL :						
Louisville-Lexington :	2,301	+ 8	665	+ 8.3	3.71	3.74
Paducah :	435	+ 41	581	+ 4.1	3.91	3.99
Nashville :	1,450	+109	693	+18.5	3.93	3.92
Memphis	941	- 77	712	+15.0	3.96	3.95
Knoxville :	902	- 32	641	+10.1	3.81	3.82
Chattanooga :	679	- 23	737	+16.6	4.17	4.19
Mississippi Delta :	360	+ 5	895	+10.1	4.23	4.24
Central Mississippi :	851	- 42	722	+ 9.9	4.07	4.02
Mississippi Gulf Coast:		- 51	511	+17.7	4.16	4.12
Group 2/	8,247	- 62	679	+12.4	3.92	3,92
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL :						
Northern Group : Central Arkansas :	886	-157	745	+18.4	3.83	3.80
Fort Smith :	180	- 49	648	+24.1	3.79	3.69
Oklahoma Metropolitan :	2,365	+ 33	741	+16.3	3.66	3.60
Red River Valley :	550	- 35	955	+14.1	3.55	3.59
Texas Panhandle	483	- 12	966	+16.1	3.68	3,61
Group 2/	4,464	-220	763	+14.9	3.68	3.64
Southern Group						
Northern Louisiana :	545	- 37	785	- 8.8	4.09	3,99
New Orleans :	2,150	- 48	576	+ 8.5	4.10	4.05
North Texas :	2,518	- 92	1,119	+11.5	3.73	3.74
Central West Texas :	463	- 31	1,184	+ 9.0	3.65	3.68
Austin-Waco : San Antonio :	324 581	+ 3 + 34	1,249 1,458	+ 6.2 + 3.0	3.59 3.59	3.63 3.59
Corpus Christi :	365	- 18	1,361	+ 9.8	4.02	4.08
Group 2/	6,946	-189	971	+ 8,4	3.81	3.81
MOUNTAIN :						
Great Basin :	1,284	- 93	872	+ 7.3	3.63	3.63
Western Colorado :	115	- 4	822	+ 6.8	3.70	3.68
Colo. Springs-Pueblo :	341	~ 58	1,092	<del>+</del> 27 <b>.</b> 4	3.55	3.52
Central Arizona :	364	~ 38	3,640	+18.2	3.51	3.47
Group 2/	2,104	-193	1,384	+13.9	3,57	3.55
PACIFIC						
Puget Sound :	3,243	-141	1,011	+12.2	3.90	3.91
Inland Empire :	640	- 73	701	+11.4	3.84	3.84
Group <u>2</u> / :	3,883	-214	960	+12.4	3.89	3,90
Comparable markets 2/	181,001	-4,947	746	+ 7.3	<u>3</u> /3.67	3/3.68

<sup>1/</sup> Data not available.
2/ Based on markets effective as of January 1, 1960. Excludes Upper Chesapeake Bay, Ohio Valley, Indianapolis, and Suburban St. Louis.

3/ Weighted average of comparable markets except Boston, Springfield, Worcester, and Connecticut.

Table 3.--Federal milk order minimum Class I and market average blend prices for milk of 3.5 percent butterfat content, f.o.b. market or other indicated point, receipts of milk from producers, and producer milk in Class I, April 1961, with comparisons

:	Class I	price	Blend	nrice	Receipts		Produce	er milk i	n Class	I
Market	:	<del>:</del>	:		producer	:Change		:Change	Perce	nt of
MAIREL	April :				April		: April		recei	
	1961 :	1960 :	1961 :	1960	1961	:April :1960	: 1961 :		Apr. : 1961	
:		Dollars p	er 100 lb.		1,000 lb.	Pct.	1,000 lb.	Pct.		cent
NEW ENGLAND :										
Boston :	<u>1</u> /5.04	<u>1</u> /5.04	<u>1</u> /3.96	1/4.02	181,379	+ 0.4	87,498	- 8.3	48	53
Springfield : Worcester :	5.58 5.58	5.58 5.58	4.83 4.99	5.02 5.16	16,898 25,186	- 5.2 +85.2	11,584 16,274	-12.2 +54.0	69 65	74 78
Southeastern N.England:	5.58	5.65	5.10	5.06	57,816	+13.4	39,225	+12.7	68	68
Connecticut : Group 2/ :	5.57 5.36	5.59 5.36	4.80	4.95 4.50	91,431 372,710	+ 5.9	65,531 220,112	- 2.0	<u>72</u> 59	63
:										,
MIDDLE ATLANTIC : New York-New Jersey :	1/5.08	1/5.15	1/3.91	1/3.86	1,014,743	+ 2.8	446,200	8	44	46
Philadelphia :	3/5.25	$\frac{3}{3}/5.16$	3/4.60	3/4.47	142,385	- 3.3	97,338	- 3.3	68	68
Group <u>2</u> /	5.11	5.15	3,99	3.94	1,157,128	+ 2.0	543,538	- 1.3	47	49
SOUTH ATLANTIC :										
Wilmington :	5.10	5.04	4.75	4.81	14,494		11,947	+123.1	82	90
Upper Chesapeake Bay : Washington, D. C. :	5.10 5.10	5.10 5.10	4.57 4.33	4.57 4.43	51,316 83,347	+ 1.6 + 8.0	37.,339 52,287	- 1.2 - 2.4	73 63	75 69
Wheeling :	4.55	4.39	4.28	4.07	13,303	- 5.6	10,486	- 3.1	79	77
Clarksburg : Tri-State :	4.90 4/4.43	4.74 4/4.23	4.64 4.08	4.52 3.97	5,738 22,410	6 + 3.8	4,884 18,152	- 3.3 - 3.0	85 81	88 87
Appalachian :	4.88	4.73	4.54	4.48	19,202	+ 8.2	15,167	- 3.4	79	88
Southeastern Florida : Group 2/ :	6.22 5.21	6.62 5.25	5.86 4.67	6.30 4.78	40,563 199,057	+ 1.3	34,340 147,263	- 1.7 + 2.1	85 74	87 79
:				11.10		1 2.2	247,200			
EAST NORTH CENTRAL : Eastern Group :										
Upstate Michigan :	4.30	4.08	3.77	3.62	5,071	- 4.6	2,915	-12.0	57	62
Muskegon :	4.28 4.07	4.08 4.26	3.94	3.76 3.86	8,436	- 3.4	5,949	- 5.6 - 5.3	71 63	72
Southern Michigan : Toledo :	4.07	4.28	3.74 4.05	4.01	265,701 29,459	+ 1.7 +10.2	168,649 21,172	- 2.4	72	68 81
Northeastern Ohio :		5/4.13	<u>5</u> /3.96	<u>5</u> /3.85	145,680	+ 9.1	88,905	- 4.1	61	69
North Central Ohio : Columbus :	6/3.99 4.33	<u>6</u> /3.79 4.20	3.85 3.79	3.71 3.63	21,157 28,736	+ 7.8 4	16,147 22,768	- 4.7 + 1.1	76 79	86 78
Dayton-Springfield :	4.44	4.38	3.82	3.78	38,776	+ 8.1	27,325	+ 1.6	70	75
Cincinnati : Group 2/ :	4.65	4.54	3.79 3.83	3.69 3.82	54,091 597,107	+14.7 + 5.3	34,396 388,226	+12.6	64 65	65 70
:										
Western Group : Mich. Upper Peninsula :	7/4.18	7/4.04	3.75	3.48	10,802	-12.1	7,193	+ 3.1	67	57
Northeastern Wisconsin:	8/3.77	$\frac{8}{8}/3.63$	<u>8</u> /3.56	<u>8</u> /3.39	35,366	1	22,910	- 3.6	65	67
Milwaukee :	3.65 9/3.71	3.53 9/3.59	3.56 3.58	3.44 3.50	50,436 6,054	4 +18.8	37,934 4,370	- 1.6 - 1.4	75 72	76 87
Rockford-Freeport : Chicago :	$\frac{9}{10}/3.69$	$\frac{5}{10}/3.57$	10/3.45	<u>10</u> /3.28	481,221	+ 1.4	193,324	- 3.4	40	42
S.Bend-LaPorte-Elkhart:	4.11	3.93	3.91 3.85	3.72 3.45	15,651	-30.1 + 8.3	11,484 6,044	-29.7 + 4.2	73 61	73 63
Fort Wayne : Ohio Valley :	4.29 4.37	3.74 4.38	4.08	4.18	9,955 16,319	-13.0	12,540	-22.5	77	86
Indianapolis :	<u>11</u> /4.48		11/3.82		74,025		56,404		76	`
Suburban St. Louis : Group 2/	11/4.17 3.73	3,60	11/3.81 3.49	3.32	27,383 609,485	0	20,019	- 4.3	73 46	49
-		5,00	3,49	3.32	007,403		200,239			
WEST NORTH CENTRAL :										
Northern Group : Duluth-Superior :	3.98	3.84	3.49	3.27	16,447	- 1.9	7,411	- 5.4	45	47
Minneapolis-St. Paul :	3.96	3.80	3.71	3.48	90,076	+ 7.8	52,870	+ 1.7	59 68	62 78
Eastern South Dakota : Sioux Falls-Mitchell :	4.63 4.42	4.49 4.25	4. <b>1</b> 5 3. <b>9</b> 8	4.12 3.81	3,190 8,719	+ 9.1 + 3.6	2,164 5,944	- 5.0 + 3.8	68 68	68
Black Hills :	5.38	5.24	4.71	4.69	3,952	+10.4	2,857	+ 4.5	72	76
North Central Iowa : Cedar Rapids-Iowa City:	11/3.84 3.84	$\frac{11}{3.72}$	1 <u>1</u> /3.78 3.64	11/3.67 3.58	24,439 16,731	+ 4.2 + 9.4	20,800 10,252	+ 1.6 -15.6	85 61	87 79
Quad Cities - Dubuque :	<u>12</u> /3.89	<u>12</u> /3.75	<u>12</u> /3.67	<u>12</u> /3.47	20,179	- 7.7	12,544	1	62	57
Des Moines :	13/4.18	13/4.04	$\frac{13}{3.69}$	13/3.81 3.80	24,547 5,900	+14.9 +19.7	17,260 3,550	+ .6 - 6.7	70 60	80 77
Sioux City : Omaha-Lincoln-C.Bluffs:	4.68 4.68	4.52 4.52	3.69	4.07	30,188	+19.7	23,620	+ 9.0	78	86
Platte Valley :	4.67	4.52	3.46	3.67	9,926	+26.2	4,689	-11.9	47	68
Group <u>2</u> / :	4.15	3.99	3.77	3.63	254,294	+ 8.1	163,961	+ .2	64	70

Continued

Table 3.--Federal milk order minimum Class I and market average blend prices for milk of 3.5 percent butterfat content, f.o.b. market or other indicated point, receipts of milk from producers, and producer milk in Class I, April 1961, with comparisons -Continued

	Class I	price	Blend	price	Receipts producers		Produce	er milk i	n Class	I
		:			: producers	:Change :		:Change	: Perce	nt of
Market	April :				April	:from :	April	:from	recei	
	1961 :			2060	1961	:April :	1961		: Apr.	
•		1,000 .	1,01	1700	1901	:1960 :	1701		: 1961	
		Dollars p	er 100 1b.		1,000 lb.	Pct.	1,000 lb.	Pct.		cent
:	:			-				<u> </u>		
VEST NORTH CENTCon.:										
Southern Group :										
St. Louis :	4.27	4.17	3.82	3.75	65,519	+ 2.3	44,170	- 4.5	67	72
)zarks :	<u>14</u> /4.07	<u>14</u> /3.97	<u>14</u> /3.60	<u>14</u> /3.51	18,851	+12.8	11,155	8	59	67
Kansas City :	4.24	4.16	3.86	3.84	62,261	+13.3	40,733	5	65	75
Neosho Valley :	4.31	4.18	3.82	3.75	12,799	4	6,963	-14.1	54	63
Vichita :	4.72	4.61	4.15	4.11	23,992	+13.1	14,717	+7.3	61	65
Southwest Kansas :	4.87	4.80	3.61	3.83	6,455	+15.4	3,597	- 9.5	56	71
Group <u>2</u> / :	4.32	4.22	3.85	3.80	189,877	+ 8.3	<b>121,335</b>	- 2.3	64	71
Lam county Chimpis										
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL :	1. 65	/ 50	2.62	0.70	/ 5 633		07 (00		(0	7.0
ouisville-Lexington :	4.65	4.53	3.62	3.70	45,911	+ 8.7	27,620	- 8.6	60	72
Paducah :	4.13	3.99	4.05	3.88	7,586	+15.1	6,763	+11.9	89	92
Mashville :	4.42	4.24	3.97	3.91	30,124	+27.9	20,344	+14.7	68	75
lemphis :	15/5.10	15/4.98	<u>15</u> /4.86	15/4.80	19,063	+ 5.5	16,752	+ 2.1	88	91
moxville :	4.60	4.58	4.14	4.13	17,353	+ 6.4	12,728	+ 3.9	73	75
Chattanooga :	4.86	5.02	4.18	4.57	15,006	+12.8	10,221	- 5.1	68	81
Mississippi Delta :	16/5.30	16/5.16	16/4.46	16/4.66	9,671	+16.5	6,372	- 1.8	66	78
entral Mississippi :	<u>=</u> /	$\frac{17}{10}/5.32$	<u>17</u> /4.55	$\frac{17}{10}/4.67$	18,443	+ 5.5	11,921	- 8.6	65	75
ississippi Gulf Coast:		18/5.42	18/5.25	18/5.10	4,868	+ 1.4	4,246	+ 4.2	87	85
Group <u>2</u> /	4.81	4.73	4,14	4.21	168,025	+11.5	116,967	<u>1</u>	70	78
EST SOUTH CENTRAL :										
Northern Group :										
entral Arkansas	19/5.10	19/4.98	19/4.82	19/4.60	16,350	-11.4	14,423	- 6.3	88	83
ort Smith :	4.76	4.63	4.48	4.50	3,499	+ 4.9	3,001	- 3.3	86	93
klahoma Metropolitan :		20/4.73	20/4.02	20/4.20	52,544	+18.0	32,651	+ 2.6	62	71
Red River Valley :	$\frac{20}{21}/4.80$	$\frac{21}{21}/4.88$	21/4.19	21/4.32	15,753	+ 7.2	10,903	+ .9	69	74
Texas Panhandle :	5.18	5.04	4.60	4.66	13,996	+13.3	10,184	- 1.0	73	83
Group 2/	4.84	4.85	4.27	4.37	102,142	+ 9.4	71,162	3	70	76
• = :										
outhern Group :										
Worthern Louisiana :	<u>22</u> /5.60	<u>22</u> /5.19	<u>22</u> /5.38	<u>22</u> /4.76	12,765	-15.0	11,609	- 5.5	91	82
lew Orleans :	<u>23</u> /5.68	<u>23</u> /5.60	<u>23</u> /4.72	<u>23</u> /4.68	37,146	+ 6.2	22,391	+ .4	60	64
orth Texas :		5.18	4.33	4.45	84,521	+ 7.6	53,839	+ .8	64	68
entral West Texas :		<u>24</u> /5.43	<u>24</u> /4.66	<u>24</u> /4.79	16,453	+ 2.2	11,881	- 4.8	72	78
ustin-Waco :	25/5.48	<u>25</u> /5.57	<u>25</u> /5.14	<u>25</u> /5.29	11,881	+16.2	10,095	<b>+</b> 11.0	85	89
an Antonio :	5.51	5.60	4.86	4.97	24,748	+ 9.0	17,764	3	72	78
orpus Christi :	<u>26</u> /5.88	<u>26</u> /5.97	<u>26</u> /5.32	26/5.60	14,901	+ 4.6	11,959	- 4.6	80	88
Group <u>2</u> /	5.40	5.42	4.68	4.74	202,415	+ 5.5	139,538	3	69	7.3
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										`
OUNTAIN :	F 25	F 0F	1. 1.1	4.38	22 577	1	20,536	- 2.7	61	63
reat Basin :	5,25	5.25	4.44	4.38	33,577		•	- 1.0	85	89
estern Colorado :	5.28	5,14	4.97		2,835	+ 3.2 + 8.8	2,408	- 8.5	62	73
olo. Springs-Pueblo :	5.43	5.30	4.59	4.64	11,168		6,898	- 8.3	73	73 79
entral Arizona :	27/5.87	27/5.64	27/5.20	27/5.15	39,748	+ 7.1	28,843			
Group 2/ :	5.58	5.44	4.82	4.77	87,328	+ 4.3	58,685	- 3.0	67.	72
• -										
				28/3.92	00 270	+ 7.5	45,616	+ .5	46	50
ACIFIC :	2011 06	20// 02			98,370	T /.3	43,016	+ .5	40	30
ACIFIC :	28/4.96	<u>28</u> /4.82	<u>28</u> /4.04	_		1	0 556	_ /. 0	71	76
ACIFIC : Puget Sound : Inland Empire :	5.11	5,06	4.54	4.53	13,462	+ .1	9,556	- 4.8	71	75
PACIFIC : Puget Sound : Inland Empire : Group 2/	5.11 4.99	5,06 4.86	4.54 4.10	4.53 4.00	13,462 111,832	+ 6.5	55,172	4	49	53
ACIFIC : uget Sound : nland Empire :	5.11	5,06	4.54	4.53	13,462					

<sup>1/ 201-210</sup> mile zone. 2/ Based on markets effective as of January 1, 1960. See footnote 2 on table 2.

3/ Standard milk. 4/ Huntington. 5/ At Cleveland, Akron, and Canton. 6/ Lima. 7/ Zone II.

8/ Except Oneida, Vilas, and Forest Counties, Wisconsin. 9/ At Rockford. 10/ 55-70 mile zone. 11/ Bazone. 12/ Except Dubuque and Jackson Counties, Iowa and East Dubuque, Illinois. 13/ Polk County.

14/ Springfield. 15/ At Memphis. 16/ Greenville and Columbus. 17/ Jackson, Meridian, Meadville, and Hattiesburg. 18/ Gulfport and Pascagoula. 19/ Benton. 20/ Oklahoma City. 21/ Wichita Falls.

22/ Minden and Monroe. 23/ At New Orleans and Houma. 24/ Abilene. 25/ Zone I. 26/ 0-50 mile zone (Nercedes). 27/ Tucson. 28/ District I. <u>26</u>/ 0-50 mile zone

Table 4.--Minimum prices established by Federal milk orders, f.o.b. market or other indicated point, for milk at basic test, with butterfat differentials, April 1961

	: :		Doni	ce per 100	nounda		·······················	F	+ 41 ff ax	ential 0	19
Market	Fat			<del> </del>	-		:				
	test	Class I	Class II	Class III	Blend	Base	Excess -	I	Classes: II	: III	Blend
	Pct.			Dollars	_				Ce	ents	
NEW ENGLAND	:										
<del>-</del> .	: 3.7	5.19	3.19		4.11			7.6	7.6		7.6
. 0	: 3.7 : 3.7	5.73 5.73	3.24 3.24		4.98 5.14			7.6 7.6	7.6 7.6		7.6 7.6
Southeastern New England		5.73	3.24		5.25			7.6	7.6		7.6
Connecticut	: 3.7	5.73	3.24		<u>2</u> /4.96			8.0	8.0		8.0
MIDDLE ATLANTIC	:										
New York-New Jersey 1/	: 3.5	5.08	3.66	<u>3</u> /3.06	3.91			4.0	9.0	3/7.3	5.8
Philadelphia	: 3.7 :	<u>4</u> /5.39	3.09		4/5/4.74			6.9	6.9		7.0
SOUTH ATLANTIC	:										
	: 3.7	5.24	3.09		5/4.89			6.9	6.9		7.0
Upper Chesapeake Bay Washington, D. C.	: 3.5 : 3.5	5.10 5.10	3.00 3.00		4.57 4.33	4.74	3.00	7.3 7.3	6.9 6.9		7.0 7.0
	: 3.5	4.55	3.23		4.28	4.44	3.19	7.9	7.0		7.7
9	: 3.5	4.90	3.23		4.64	4.75	3.19	7.9	7.0		7.7
	: 3.5	<u>6</u> /4.43	<u>6</u> /3.20	<u>6</u> /2.95	<u>5</u> /4.08			7.8	6.8	6.8	7.3
	: 4.0	5.25	3.23		4.89	5.07	3.23	7.3	6.7		7.1
Southeastern Florida 7/	: 4.0	6.60	4.73	3.83	6.24	6.31	4.73	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5
	:										
Eastern Group Upstate Michigan	: 3.5	4.30	3.25	3.05	3.77			7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
	: 3.5	4.28	3.15		3.94	4.02	3.15	6.8	6.8		7.0
	: 3.5	4.07	3.15		3.74	3.83	3.15	6.8	6.8		7.0
	: 3.5	4.38	3.23		<u>5</u> /4.05			7.6	7.3		7.5
	: 3.5 : 3.5	4.33 9/3.99	3.53 <u>9</u> /3.23	3.23	3.96 <u>5</u> /3.85	3.95	3.24	7.9 7.9	7.0 7.0	7.0	7.5 7.7
	: 3.5	4.33	3.93	3.74	2/3.79	3.93	3.24	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.4
	: 3.5	4.44	10/3.17		2/3.82			7.7	10/7.2		7.3
	: 3.5	4.65	3.33	<u>11</u> /2.92	$\frac{2}{3.79}$			8.3	7.0	<u>11</u> /6.6	7.8
Western Group	: :										
Michigan Upper Peninsula		12/4.18	12/3.23	<u>12</u> /3.00	<u>5</u> /3.75			7.6	7.0	7.0	7.4
Northeastern Wisconsin 13		3.77	3.23	3.15	3.56	2 61	2 22	7.6	7.0	7.0	7.4
• ~ ~	: 3.5 : 3.5	3.65 17/3.71	3.44 17/3.23	14/3.23	<u>5</u> /3.56 3.58	3.61	3.23	7.3 7.9	15/7.9 7.3	16/7.3	7.3 7.3
	: 3.5	18/3.69	3.44	14/3.23	18/3.45	3.53	3.13	7.3	8.3	7.7	7.3
~ <b>_</b>	: 3.5	4.11	3.68	3.23	3.91	4.01	3.23	7.9	7.6	7.3	7.3
* *	: 3.5	4.29	3.14		3.85			7.9	7.0		7.0
	: 4.0	4.74	3.42		4.45	4.62	3.42	7.4	7.3		7.4
	: 3.5 : 3.5	4.48 <u>19</u> /4.17	3.26 3.04		2/3.82 19/2/3.81			7.3 7.3	6.8 7.0		7.1 7.2
	:		•								
WEST NORTH CENTRAL	:										
Northern Group Duluth-Superior	: 3.5	3.98	3.10		3.49			7.9	7.3		7.6
	: 3.5	3.96	3.23		3.71	3.87	3.23	7.7	7.4		7.4
Eastern South Dakota	: 3.5	4.63	3.05		4.15	4.67	3.00	7.3	6.7		7.1
	: 3.5	4.42	3.05		3.98			9.6	6.8		7.0
	: 3.5	5.38	3.01		4.71 5/3.78	4.92	3.01	10.8	6.5		7.0
	: 3.5 : 3.5	3.84 3.84	3.30 3.30		3.64			7.3 7.3	6.7 6.7		7.2 7.0
	: 3.5	20/3.89	3.30		20/3.67			7.6	6.7		7.2
· ·	: 3.5	4.18	3.30		3.93			7.3	6.7		7.1
Sioux City	: 3.5	4.68	3.06		2/3.69			9.5	6.7		7.0
	: 3.8	4.96 4.67	3.26		2/4.17			9.5	6.7		7.0 8.3
	3.5	4.67	3.07		<u>2</u> /3.46			9.4	7.3		8.3

Continued

Table 4. -- Minimum prices established by Federal milk orders, f.o.b. market or other indicated point, for milk at basic test, with butterfat differentials, April 1961 -Continued

	: : :Fat :		Pri	ce per 100 po	ounds		::	Fa	t differe	ntial C	.1%
market	:test:	Class I	Class II	Class III	Blend	Base	Excess	I	Classes: II:	III	Blend
	Pct.	•		Dollars		•	···		Cent		•
WEST NORTH CENTRAL -Con.	:										
Southern Group	:										
	: 3.5	4.27	3.04		<u>2</u> /3.82			7.3	7.0		7.0
_	: 3.5	4.07	3.10		2/3.60			7.3	7.0		7.0
	: 3.8	4.48	3.28		4.05	4.14	3.29	7.9	7.0		6.4
	: 4.0	4.69	3.59		4.18	4.30	3.59	7.6	7.0		7.3
	: 3.8	4.94	4.17	3.37	4.37	4.51	3.37	7.3	7.3	7.0	7.2
	: 3.8	5.10	3.37		<u>2</u> /3.83			7.6	7.0		7.3
	:										
	: 3.8	4.88	3.59	3.18	<u>2</u> /3.82			7.6	7.1	7.0	6.5
Paducah	: 3.5	4.13	3.13		4.05			7.3	6.7		6.5
Nashville	: 4.0	4.79	3.43		4.33	4.55	3.43	7.3	7.0		7.2
Memphis <u>23</u> /	: 4.0	5.46	3.38		<u>5</u> /5.19			7.3	6.7		6.5
	: 4.0	4.99	3.31		4.51	4.73	3.31	7.9	7.0		7.3
Chattanooga	: 4.0	5.26	3.19		4.56	4.78	3.15	7.9	7.0		7.6
	: 4.0	5.66	3.27		4.82	5.19	3.27	7.3	6.7		7.1
Central Mississippi 25/	: 4.0	5.82	3.27		4.90	5.21	3.23	7.3	6.7		7.0
Miss. Gulf Coast <u>26</u> /	: 4.0	5.92	3.27		5.61	5.93	3.27	7.3	6.7		7.2
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL	:										
Northern Group	:										
Central Arkansas 27/	: 4.0	5,46	3.20		5.18	5.44	3.16	7.3	6.7		7.2
Fort Smith	: 4.0	5.14	3.20		<u>5</u> /4.84	5.09	3.78	7.6	7.0		7.3
Oklahoma Metropolitan 28/	: 4.0	5.03	3.25		4.38			7.6	7.0		7.3
Red River Valley 29/	: 4.0	5.18	3.25		4.56	4.77	3.25	7.6	7.0		7.4
Texas Panhandle	: 4.0	5.54	3.35		4.95	5.13	3.31	7.3	6.7		7.1
Court Court	:										
Southern Group Northern Louisiana 30/	: : 4.0	5.96	3.43		5/5.74	5.92	4.74	7.3	6.7		7.2
_	4.0	6.04	3.45		5.07	5.27	3.45	7.3	6.7		7.0
	4.0	5.47	3.34		4.68	4.90	3.33	7.6	6.7		7.0
	: 4.0	5.72	33/3.48		5.02			7.6	33/6.7		7.3
	: 4.0	5.85	3.34		5/5.47			7.3	6.7		6.7
	: 4.0	5.89	35/3.39		5.19			7.6	35/6.5		6.7
	4.0	<u>36</u> /6.25	$\frac{37}{37}/3.36$	<u>36</u>	<u>/5</u> /5.67			7.3	<u>37</u> /6.7		7.1
MOUNTAIN	:										
	3.5	5.25	3.17		4.44	4.62	3.17	8.2	7.0		7.7
	: 3.5	5.28	3.23		5/4.97	4.02	3.17	8.2	7.3		8.1
	: 3.5	5.43	38/3.03		4.59			8.5	38/7.3		8.0
	: 3.8	6.12	3.34		5.44			8.2	7.0		7.9
	:										
	4.0	5.34	41/3.42		4.41	4.53	3.67	7.6	41/7.3		42/7.5
		2 . 54	71/ 3.42		- • - T	T . J J	3.07	,	<u> </u>		421 100

1/ 201-210 mile zone. 2/ Prices were reduced by fall production fund payment: Connecticut, 15¢; Columbus, 35¢; Dayton-Springfield, 20¢; Cincinnati, 30¢; Indianapolis, 25¢; Suburban St. Louis, 10¢; Sioux City, 33¢; Omaha-Lincoln-Council Bluffs, 35¢; Platte Valley, 37¢; St. Louis, 10¢; Ozarks, 10¢; Southwest Kansas, 50¢; Louisville-Lexington, 42¢. 3/ Class III (butter and cheese) \$2.92, 6.9¢. 4/ Standard milk. 5/ Individual handler pool. 6/ Huntington. 7/ Class IV prices and differentials: Southeastern Florida, \$3.18, 7.5¢; Columbus, \$3.12, 7.0¢; Milwaukee, \$3.10, 7.3¢ (see footnote 16); Chicago, \$3.10, 7.3¢. 8/ At Cleveland, Akron, and Canton. 9/ Lima. 10/ Class II (butter) \$2.99, 6.7¢. 11/ Class III (butter) \$2.92, 6.6¢. 12/ Zone II.
13/ Except Oneida, Vilas, and Forest Counties, Wisconsin. 14/ Class III(a) same as Class III.
15/ When handler's lowest priced use is Class III. 16/ When handler's lowest priced use is in this class.
17/ At Rockford. 18/ 55-70 mile zone. 19/ Base zone. 20/ Except Dubuque and Jackson Counties. Iowa and

<sup>15/</sup> When handler's lowest priced use is Class III. 16/ When handler's lowest priced use is in this class.
17/ At Rockford. 18/ 55-70 mile zone. 19/ Base zone. 20/ Except Dubuque and Jackson Counties, Iowa and
East Dubuque, Illinois. 21/ Polk County. 22/ Springfield. 23/ At Memphis. 24/ Greenville and Columbus.
25/ Jackson, Meridian, Meadville, and Hattiesburg. 26/ Gulfport and Pascagoula. 27/ Benton. 28/ Oklahoma City.
29/ Wichita Falls. 30/ Minden and Monroe. 31/ At New Orleans and Houma. 32/ Abilene.
33/ Class II (Cheddar cheese) \$3.11, 6.7¢. 34/ Zone I. 35/ Class II (Cheddar cheese) \$3.11, 6.5¢.
36/ 0-50 mile zone (Mercedes). 37/ Class II (Cheddar cheese) \$3.11, 6.7¢.
38/ Class II (butter and cheese) \$2.93, 7.3¢. 39/ Tucson. 40/ District I. 41/ Class II (ice cream, condensed, and cottage cheese) \$3.67, 7.3¢. 42/ Fat differential for base 7.5¢ and excess 7.3¢. 43/ Class II(a) \$3.67, 7.3¢.

<sup>44/</sup> Fat differential for base 7.6¢ and excess 7.3¢.

Table 5.--Federal milk order Class I formula price information and gross Class I usage (with comparisons), April 1961

:		For	mula facto	rs		: :	Gross Cla	ss I	:Receipt	s from
:	Basic	Fluid		Supply-		Class I		:Change	:produce	rs as %
Market :	price	diff.	Sea-	demand	Other	price <u>5</u> /	April 1961	from	of Clas	
:	<u>1</u> /	<u>2</u> /	sonal	<u>3</u> /	: <u>4</u> /		•	:April :1960	: Apr.	
		Dollar	s <u>per 100</u>	pounds		<del></del>	1,000 lb.	Pet.	Perc	
THE PART AND										
NEW ENGLAND :	5.83 E		-0.45	-0.17	-0.02	5.19	87,914	- 8.4	206	183
Springfield :	6.37 E		45	17	02	5.73	12,575	- 9.2	134	129
Worcester :	6.37 E		45	17	02	5.73	17,074	+47.9	148	118
Southeastern N. England:	6.37 E		45	17	02	5.73	43,082	+12.9	134	134
Connecticut :	6.37 E		45	17	02	5.73	67,042	5	136	128
Group <u>6</u> /					<del></del>		204,879	8	182	169
MIDDLE ATLANTIC :										
New York-New Jersey :	5.64 E		32	24		5.08	<u>7</u> /			
Philadelphia :	5.85 E		40	0	06	5.39	97,895	- 3.1	145	146
Group <u>6</u> /			<del></del>	<del></del>		<del></del>		<del></del> -		
SOUTH ATLANTIC :										
Wilmington :	5.69 E		40	0	05	5 <b>.2</b> 4	13,483	+122.3	107	98
Upper Chesapeake Bay 8/						5.10	38,396	8	134	131
Washington, D. C. :	2 22 14	1 72	21			5.10	52,287	- 2.8	159	144
Wheeling : Clarksburg :	3.23 M 3.23 M	1.73 1.98	31 31	06 + .08	04 08	4.35 4.90	11,573 4,884	- 7.7 - 3.3	115 117	112 114
Tri-State :	3.23 M	1.59	39	0	00	4.43	18,478	- 2.0	121	115
Appalachian :	3.59 M	1.92	26			5.25	15,862	+ .2	121	112
Southeastern Florida :	7.00 S			63	+ .23	6.60	34,342	- 1.4	118	115
Group <u>6</u> / :							150,907	+ 2.8	132	124
EAST NORTH CENTRAL :										
Eastern Group :										
Upstate Michigan :	3.25 L	1.28	23			4.30	3,040	-19.1	167	141
Muskegon :	3.23 M	1.25	20			4.28	6,139	- 4.3	137	136
Southern Michigan :	3.23 M	1.43	20	39		4.07	168,657	- 5.3	158	147
Toledo :	3.23 M	1.45	20 30	10		4.38 4.33	21,172	- 2.4 - 4.2	139 164	123 144
Northeastern Ohio : North Central Ohio :	3.23 M 3.23 M	1.65 1.31	30 30	25 25		3.99	88,909 16,336	- 4.4	130	115
Columbus :	3.23 M	1.10		0		4.33	22,768	+ 1.1	126	128
Dayton-Springfield :	3.23 M	1.20		+ .01		4.44	27,325	+ 1.5	142	133
Cincinnati :	3.23 M	1.30		+ .12		4.65	34,422	+12.1	157	154
Group <u>6</u> / :							388,302	- 2.8	154	142
Western Group :										
Mich. Upper Peninsula :	3.23 M	1.17	22			4.18	7,255	+ 2.5	149	174
Northeastern Wisconsin:	3.23 M	.74	20			3.77	22,999	- 3.7	154	148
Milwaukee :	3.23 M	.86	20	24		3.65	37,934	- 1.6	133	131
Rockford-Freeport :	3.23 M	. 92	20 20	24 24		3.71 3.69	4,376 193,430	- 1.2 - 3.7	138 249	115 236
Chicago : S.Bend-LaPorte-Elkhart:	3.23 M 3.23 M	., 90 1. 10	20	02		4.11	11,418	-29.8	137	138
Fort Wayne :	3.14 B	1.23	08	0		4.29	7,151	+ 2.8	139	132
Ohio Valley 8/ :	3.59 M	1.30	15			4.74	12,541	-22.7	130	116
Indianapolis 8/ :	3.23 M	1.25				4.48	56,421		131	
Suburban St. Louis 8/:	3.23 M	1.30	20_		16	4.17	20,140		136	
Group <u>6</u> /							284,244	- 4.5	214	205
WEST NORTH CENTRAL :										
Northern Group :										
Duluth-Superior :	3.23 M	.90	15			3.98	7,482	- 4.5	220	214
Minneapolis-St. Paul :		.86	10	03		3.96	53,359	+ 2.6	169	161
Eastern South Dakota :	3.23 N	1.40				4.63 4.42	2,285 6,011	- 5.6 + 4.3	140 145 ·	121 146
Sioux Falls-Mitchell :	3.02 B 3.23 H	1.40 2.15				5.38	2,872	÷ 3.1	138	128
Black Hills : North Central Iowa :		1.05	20	24		3.84	20,908	+ 1.1	117	113
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City:	3.23 M	1.05	20	24		3.84	10,390	-14.7	161	126
Quad Cities-Dubuque :	3.23 11	1.10	20	24		3.89	12,559	4	161	173
Des Moines :	3.23 M	1.25	20	24	+ .14	4.18	17,396	+ .1	141	123
Sioux City :	3.28 L	1.40				4.68	3,550	- 6.8	166	129
Omaha-Lincoln-C.Bluffs:	3.56 L	1.40				4.96	24,233	7 -10.3	125 193	104 137
		1 (.0						- 111 3		13/
Platte Valley : Group 6/ :	3.28 L	1.40			.01	4.67	5,142	5	154	141

Table 5.--Federal milk order Class I formula price information and gross Class I usage (with comparisons),
April 1961 -Continued

			ula facto	rs		- <u>:</u>	Gross Cla		Receip	
Mandage	Basic	Fluid		Supply-	Other	Class I		:from	produce	
Market	price	diff.		demand	: <u>4</u> /	price 5/	April 1961	:April	: Apr.	: Apr.
	<u>1</u> /	<u>2</u> /	Jonai	<u>3</u> /	· <i>1</i> /			:1960	: 1961	: 1960
	:	Dollars	per 100	pounds			1,000 lb.	Pct.		ent
1707 NORTH ON T										
WEST NORTH CENTCon. Southern Group										
St. Louis	3.23 M	1.40	-0.20	+0.08	-0.24	4.27	47,019	-05.2	139	129
Ozarks	3.23 M	1.20	20	+ .08	24	4.07	11,199	5	168	148
Kansas City	3.50 M	1.35	20	18	+ .01	4.48	40,769	6	153	134
Neosho Valley	3.69 M	1.34	34			4.69	6,963	-14.1	184	159
Wichita	3.50 M	1.65		22	+ .01	4.94	14,718	+ 7.1	163	154
Southwest Kansas	3.50 M	1.65		05		5.10	3,597 124,246	- 9.5 - 2.7	179 153	141
Group <u>6</u> /					<del></del>		124,240	- 2.7	133	137
EAST NORTH CENTRAL	•									
Louisville-Lexington		1.30				4.88	28,537		161	134
Paducah	: 3.23 M	1.30	40			4.13	6,763	+10.9	112	108
	3.59 11	1.35	15	0		4.79	20,351	+14.7	148 114	133 110
Memphis	: 3.72 C	1.74		0		5.46 4.99	16,752 12,943	+ 2.1 + 4.0	134	131
	: 3.59 M : 3.61 M	1.50 1.75		10 10		5.26	10,335	- 5.1	145	122
011444411440	: 3.61 M : 3.69 M	1.97		10		5.66	6,372	- 2.9	152	127
	: 3.69 M	2.13				5.82	11,921	- 8.6	155	134
Mississippi Gulf Coast		2.23				5.92	4,310	+ 1.6	113	113
Group <u>6</u> /	:						117,295	- 1.3	143	127
ITCT COUTIL CENTRAL	:									
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL Northern Group										
Central Arkansas	3.72 C	1.74		0		5.46	14,425	- 6.6	113	119
Fort Smith	: 3.69 м	1.75	30			5.14	3,001	- 3.3	117	107
Oklahoma Metropolitan	: 3.69 м	1.85	30	21		5.03	32,655	+ 2.6	161	140
Red River Valley	: 3.69 м	2.00	30	21		5.18	12,004	8	131	121
Texas Panhandle	3.69 M	2.05	20			5.54	10,184 72,260	- 2.7 8	137 141	118
Group <u>6</u> /	: <del></del>						72,260		141	120
Southern Group	:									
Northern Louisiana	: 3.69 м	2.27				5.96	11,640	- 6.8	110	120
New Orleans	: 3.69 м	2.61	13	13		6.04	22,470	+ .6	165	157
	: 3.69 M	2.12	27	07		5.47	55,182	- 3.9	153	137
00110101 11000 101100	: 3.69 м : 3.69 м	2.37 2.50	27 27	07 07		5.72 5.85	11,883 10,118	- 5.0 + 9.9	138 117	129 111
	: 3.69 M	2.54	27	07		5.89	19,608	- 1.6	126	114
Corpus Christi	: 3.69 м	2.90	27	07		6.25	12,156	- 5.2	123	111
Group <u>6</u> /							142,633	- 2.3	142	131
MOTIVE	:									
MOUNTAIN Great Basin	: :					5.25	20,548	- 3.1	163	158
Great Basin Western Colorado	3.23 M	2.05				5.28	2,409	9	118	113
Colo. Springs-Pueblo	3.23 M	2.20				5.43	6,920	- 8.2	161	136
Central Arizona	3.50 м	2.75		13		6.12	28,849	- 2.0	138	126
Group <u>6</u> /							58,726	- 3.1	149	138
DACTETC										
PACIFIC Puget Sound	: : 3.69 м	1.65				5.34	45,755	+ .5	215	201
Inland Empire	3.69 M	1.90		09		5.50	9,556	- 4.9	141	134
Group 6/							55,311	5	202	189
Comparable markets 9/							10/2,298,702	- 1.7	176	166
All markets 6/							10/2,425,826		174	165

<sup>1/</sup> Based on: E-Economic index; B-Butter-nonfat dry milk prices; C-Cheese price formula; L-Local manufacturing milk prices; M-Midwest condensery prices; S-Specified in order. 2/ Annual rate. 3/ Computed amount.
4/ Bracketing except (a) limited by maximum or relation to other market prices, Wheeling, Clarksburg, Southeastern Florida, Suburban St. Louis, Des Moines, St. Louis, Ozarks; (b) Supply-demand suspended for Fort Wayne. 5/ For butterfat percentage and location at which price is reported, see table 4. 6/ Adjusted for duplication due to intermarket transfers. 7/ Data not available. 8/ Excluded from group total. 9/ Based on markets effective as of January 1, 1960. Excludes Upper Chesapeake Bay, Ohio Valley, Indianapolis, and Suburban St. Louis. Adjusted for duplication due to intermarket transfers. 10/ Includes New York-New Jersey producer milk only.

Table 6.--Whole milk, skim milk items, milk and cream mixtures, and cream sold in marketing areas defined by Federal milk orders, for markets where such information is\_available, March 1961, with comparisons

Whole milk 1/ ::  "Butter:: i Year :: Daily i:  "Butter:: i Year :	:	Skim milk items <u>2</u> / . Milk and cream mixtures . Cream	Butter-: :Year : Daily :Butter-: :Year : Daily :Butter- :fat : Mar. :to : average:fat : Mar. :to : average:fat : Content : date : content : date	Pct. Pct. Pct. 1b. Pct. Pct. Pct. 1b. Pct. Pct.	+16.5 +15.3	.81 +13.6 +13.6 17.6 11.0 +27.8 +23.6 37.6 22.6 - 2.1 - 6.7	.76 + 9.5 +11.3     1.5 11.3 +38.2 +36.7     2.7 24.0 -10.8 -13.9       .52 + 2.8 + 1.3     31.7 12.2 + 9.6 + 9.5     20.1 22.5 - 6.7 -11.3       1.14 + 5.9 + 3.0     3.3 12.1 +14.7 +13.1     1.9 21.5 -10.3 -12.2       1.04 - 7.9 -15.0     2.1 12.0 + 3.3 + .3     .4 23.8 +15.8 +10.4       .757 - 1.2     .9 12.2 +15.8 +16.4     .7 25.2 -10.9 -10.7       .83 +18.8 +16.3     38.7 10.0 +17.4 +13.8     31.5 20.9 - 3.7 - 3.7	.59 +10.3 +12.9       112.7       10.5 - 3.1 - 2.6       48.4       21.3 - 8.4 - 10.9         1.33 +33.4 +30.0       12.6 10.9 -10.9 -10.9       3.2 24.8 - 5.7 - 9.4         1.35 +5.2 +7.0       44.0 11.5 - 6.8 - 3.0       1.8 22.0 - 4.1 - 4.7         1.35 +52.8 +46.1       4.5 11.5 - 6.8 - 3.0       1.8 22.0 - 4.1 - 4.7         1.31 +11.8 +11.3       11.5 - 2.3 - 1.3 - 6.5       20.3 0 - 3.3         1.41 +11.8 +11.3       10.7 11.8 +1.7 + 1.0 - 7.7 - 22.0 + 3.0 - 5.7         1.55 + 6.1 + 6.6       13.2 11.5 + 8.2 + 7.9 - 7.7 - 22.0 + 3.0 - 5.7         1.54 + 12.8 + 13.1       13.5 13.0 - 3.6 - 4.7 - 6.2 - 29.6 + 7.2 - 5.8 - 8.5         1.40 + 8.0 + 9.5       212.0 12.0 + 2.6 - 4.7 - 4.8 - 5.1 + 5.3 - 0         1.46 + 8.0 + 9.5       212.0 12.0 - 2.1 - 4.8 - 53.8 - 21.5 + 4.4 - 5         1.76 + 5.2 +11.9       6.9 12.1 - 4.7 - 3.9 - 1.8 - 27.7 - 15.8 - 19.6         1.67 +28.7 +33.2       2.9 12.2 + 3.1 + .5	.89 +29.0 +30.0 30.7 12.0 - 2.3 - 2.8 19.7 27.5 +10.1 +6.3 1.65 +22.9 +23.3 1.9 12.7 - 4.5 - 5.1 .6 23.6 + 1.7 + 3.2 .9 +39.2 1.6 12.4 +5.4 + 3.8 .5 27.1 + 11.3 + 1.3 1.3 + 49.9 + 7.7 11.2 12.6 - 2.04 2.3 26.8 +23.1 +28.2 1.18 +11.3 + 9.9 15.2 12.8 - 2.0 - 3.6 3.4 24.3 +20.4 +10.3 1.8 +11.3 + 9.9 15.2 12.8 - 2.0 - 3.6 3.4 24.3 +20.4 +12.8 1.2 +12.4 +11.2 2.8 11.5 - 5.3 - 7.2 7.2 7.2 14.0 +18.1
		ge, 1961 : Ma	: Year : D	t. Pct. Pct.	- 0.4 - 1.4 + 2.3 + 1.3 + 1.3	- 2.2 -	+ + + + 5.7.4 + 2.1.2 +	+ + . + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +

Table 6.--Whole milk, skim milk items, milk and cream mixtures, and cream sold in marketing areas defined by Federal milk orders, for markets where such information is available, March 1961, with comparisons, -Continued

		Whole milk	1k 1/			Ski	Skim milk items	items <u>2</u> /	>		Mil	Milk and co	cream mixtures	xtures			Cream		
Marketine area	Mar.	Mar. 1961	Change, 1	e, 1961 1960		Mar. 1961	1961	:Change, 1961 :from 1960	e, 1961 1960		Mar.	Mar. 1961	:Change, 1 :from 1960	Change, 1961 from 1960	. W	Mar. 1961		:Change, 19	, 1961 960
	Daily Butt average fat	:Butter-:	Mar.	:Year :to		Daily average	:Butter- :fat	4 ** ** *	:Year :to		Daily average	:Butter- :fat		:Year :to	. Daily average		ter-:	:Y Mar. :t	Year to date
,	1,000 1b.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.		1,000 1b.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.		1,000 1b.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	1,000 1b.	i	1	Pct.	Pct.
WEST NORTH CENTCon.:	1,126	3.36	- 4.5	- 4.6		160.5	1.67	.23.5			20.0	11.9	+ 3.	+ 2.	14.0				3.5
Ozarks	277	3.44	+ 2.2	+ 3.7		28.8	1.30	+ 9.0			5.1	11.8	+	+					-20.9
Kansas City :	1,108	3.42	- 1.9	- 1.0		122.8	1.35	+ 6.4			20.9	12.1	+ 5.	+	α				7.6
Neosho Valley	209	3.44	9.8	- 2.0		14.2	1.11	+16.6			۰, د ه و	12.2	- 2.6	- 2.6	-	8. 1. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	28.6 +	+ 6.5 +	<b>4.7</b> +
Southwest Kansas :	108	3.35	7.9	1.3		4.0	.76	+ 3.6	+ 8.7		2.2	11.6	-11.	- 6.	i				-16.9
· TAGENGO HERIOS EDAG																			
Louisville-Lexington 4/	895	3.64	9	+ 2.4		95.2	1.28	- 9.1			10.0	12.0		+	5	5.0 24			5.1
Paducah 4/	127	3.59	+ 3.8	+ 1.9		15.2	.85				5.	12.0		1	·				-13.8
Nashville 4/	508	3.55	+ 3.6	+ 2.9		80.4	.61	9.	- 3.6		4.6	12.2	+ 3.2	+ 1.1	, i	1.7 30	30.7	8.9	2.6
Memphis 4/	417	3.52	+ 1.2	+ 1.6		51.7	.57				 	12.1		+ +	<b>*</b> ~				0,0
Chattanooga .	208	38.6	ו נ נ			40.0	73				7 6	12.1		- 7	i				17.1
Mississippi Delta :	188	3.80	- 1.7	3.0		23.5	. 84				1.3	13.2		,	ĺ				7.3
Central Mississippi		3.69	+ 3.1	+ 1.9		41.5	1.15				9.4	13.3		+	j.				12.0
Mississippi Gulf Coast:	160	3.75	+ 3.9	+ 2.6		11.9	1,45				2.4	12.0		•	•				22.5
TO MEST SOUTH CENTRAL																			
		3.64	- 1.2	- 1.7		47.7	1.32	+ 3.0	+ 4.5		5.0	11.0	+	+	1,	00	÷ •:	8.9	- 8.5
Oklahoma Metropolitan 4/		3.62	- 1.3	٠. ئ		63.1	1.16	+ 2.6	4 4.6		13.8	11.9		+ -	ν, -	∢ .	25.9 +		7.5
Red River Valley :	343	3.47	+ 5.9	, c		26.6	1.00	419.2	+20.7		ה ה ה	11.9	+ 1	+ 1	-i -	<b>+</b> 0			 
Northern Jonisiana	243	3.86	1 1	2.2		33.5	1.30	+ 1.6	+ 3.7		1.7	12.5	•	- 1	i	10			10.3
New Orleans	629	3.85	0	- 2.0		44.6	1.23	+30.0	+25.5		9.9	12.4	Ŧ	Ŧ	4	<b>-</b>			11.6
North Texas	1,295	3.46	9. +	- 1.5		117.7	1.09	0.9 +	+ 6.3		22.3	12.1	1	1	10.	9			- 3.2
Central West Texas :	389	3.46	- 3.1	- 4.9		33.8	1.09	+10.0	+ 4.7		5.6	12.0	+	+	۰. (	o 0	30.2		12.0
Austin-Waco :	408	3.48	÷.	···		31.5	1.04	+11.5	+12.0		0 1	12.5	1	1	7 -	<b>V</b> 0			7.7
Corpus Christi	363	3.50	+ - 4	1.7		23.6	1.15	+13.4	+11.4		6.2	12.0			i .i	. m	29.8	+18.5 +	+15.3
: NINTAIN																			
Great Basin :	541	3.46	4	- 2.1		119.8	1.72	+18.6	+17.5		8.4	12.5	•	- 3.	Š			<u></u>	•
Western Colorado :	52	3.59	- 2.8	- 2.1		3.0	.59	- 7.7	- 3.6		1.4	12.4	δ,	ا در	•			Φ.	4,
Colo. Springs-Pueblo :	173	3.42	-12.4	-11.8		16.0	1.05	+16.1	+ 6.8		3.7 7.8	12.1		- 1 2 4	-i	1.6 23	23.2	+21.0 +	+ 6.9 +11.0
Central Arizona :	979	2.03	? +	7.		6.611	1.33	422.0	422.0		70.7	71.0	<b>.</b>	;  -	ń				i
							,				·	;	•		r				
Puget Sound	1,211	3.52	- 2.7	- 2.4		16/.8	1.15	+18.0 +54.8	+19.3		5.1	11.8	7.7	2.4.1	70	2.5 27	27.8	+10.4	+ 1.0
(99)	990.67	0::0	100	1	7	4.474.0		+11.8	+12.0								1	1	
/9		3.55					1.12				902.9	11.7	<b>7.</b> +	9	504.8		23.0 +	9.	- 3.4
New York-New Jersey 7/:	7/:15,090		- 2.2	9.															
I/ Plain, flavored, and fluid equivalent of concentrated.	nd flui	d equiva	lent or	E concent	trated.		lain, r	ortitie	d, and I	Lavorec	SKIM a	nd part	SKIM	ilk, butt	2/ Plain, fortified, and ilavored skim and part skim milk, buttermilk, and cultured specialties.	d cureu	red spe	claiti	es.

5/ Appalachian and Bluefield 1/ Plain, Flavored, and fluid equivalent of concentrated. 2/ Plain, fortified, and ilavored skim and part skim milk, buttetmili 3/ Sales for marketing area as defined after 1960 amendment. 4/ Sales for marketing area as defined after 1960 amendment. merged March 1, 1961. 6/ Excludes Boston, Springfield, and Worcester. 7/ Small amount of sales estimated.

Table 7.--Prices and economic indexes used in Federal milk order formulas to determine April 1961 prices, with comparisons

	Price re	Price reported paid	for milk	::	44 C 1 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 2	: Price	Price reported paid	for milk
croups of dairy products manufacturing prants and fat percentage at which price is quoted	Apr. 1961	: Mar. : 1961 :	Apr. 1960	i and iii	oroups of dairy products manufacturing prants and fat percentage at which price is quoted	. Apr 1961	: Mar. : 1961	Apr. 1960
	Dol. per	100 lb. f.o.b.	. plant	::		: Dol. per	100 lb. f.o.b.	.b. plant
				::				
United States manufacturing plants, 3.5%	3, 10	3.09	2.95	:: Po	: Local plants as listed in: -Con.			
				::	Des Moines, 3.5%	3.30	3.30	
Nidwest condenseries as listed in several				::	Sioux City, 3.5%	: 1/	3.28	3.09
orders, including Chicago, 3.5%	3.23	3.228	3.03	::	Omaha-Lincoln Council Bluffs, 3.8%	. 1	3.56	3.36
				::	Kansas City, 3.8%	3.08	3.08	2.95
Midwest condenserics plus 4 local plants as				::	Neosho Valley, 4.0%	3.20	3.20	3.08
listed in Suburban St. Louis, St. Louis,				::	Wichita, 3.8%	3.08	3.08	2.95
and Ozarks, 3.5%	3.13	3.13	2.96	::	Louisville-Lexington, 3.8%	3.25	3.26	3.13
Local plants as listed in:				::	Paducah, 3.5%	2.89	2.90	2.76
Tri-State, 3.5%	2.95	2.96	2.86	::	Nashville, 4.0%	3.18	3.19	3.08
Appalachian, 4.0%.	3.23	3.23	3.11	::	Memphis, 4.0%	3.13	3.21	3.08
Upstate Michigan, 3.5%	3.25	3.27	2.98	::	Knoxville, 4.0%	3.22	3.22	3.11
Muskegon, 3.5%	3.15	3.18	2.93	::	Chattanooga, 4.0%	3.19	3.21	3.09
Southern Hichigan, 3.5%	3.15	3.16	2.95	::	Mississippi Delta, 4.0%	3.17	3.20	3.07
Cincinnati, 3.5%	2.92	2.96	2.87	::	Central Hiss., Miss. Culf Coast, 4.0%.	3.17	3.20	3.07
Milwaukee, 3.5%.	:	† †	3.11	::	Central Arkansas, Fort Smith, 4.0%	3.20,	3.16	3.05
Fort Wayne, 3.5%	2.99	3.01	2.92	::	Oklahoma Metropolitan, 4.0%	3.25	3.25	3.18
Ohio Valley, 4.0%	3,42	3.23	3.08	::	Red River Valley, 4.0%	3.25	3.25	3.18
Cedar Rapids Iowa City, North Central				::	New Orleans, 4.0%	3.17	3.19	3.07
Iowa, Quad Cities-Dubuque, 3.5%	3.30	3.30	3.08	::				
		Market price		::		: Used	Used in formula for month	r month
Dairy product and unit	Apr.	. Mar.	Apr.	,::	Economic factor	. Apr.	: Mar.	. Apr.
•	1961	: 1961	1960	::		: 1961	: 1961	1960
		Cents		::			Index	

		Market price	se.		: Used in	Used in formula for month	nonth
Dairy product and unit	. Apr. : 1961	: Mar. : 1961	Apr. 1960	:: Economic factor	Apr. :	Mar. 1961	Apr. 1960
		Cents		::		Index	
Price per pound:	••	:				0	, 011
Butter, Chicago, 92 score	: 60.47	60.47	57.97	:: U. S. wholesale commodity prices, 194/-49=100 :	170.0	119.8	119.4
Butter, Chicago, 93(92)-score	: 60.47	60.47	57.99	•••	••		
Butter, New York, 92-score	: 61.20	61.20	58.92	:: Local factors, bases described in order:			
Butter, New York, 93-score	: 61.25	61.27	59.16	::	••		
				:: New England:	••		
Cheese, Cheddars, Wisconsin Exchange	: 34.62	34.70	32.35	:: Dairy feed price	: 101.95	101.95	99.73
Cheese, Cheddars, Wis. primary markets	36.97	37.01	33.77	:: Farm wage rates	: 105.52	105.52	103.20
	••			:: Disposable personal income	: 106.68	106.68	105.90
Nopfat drv milk:	••			::			
Spray, Chicago area plants	: 15.65	13.95	13.42	:: Pennsylvania: 3/	•		
Roller, Chicago area plants	: 13.00	12.29	12.53	:: Dairy feed price	: 104.0	98.8	225.2
Spray, at Chicago	: 16.33	15.60	14.43	:: Farm prices other than dairy	100.8	100.2	181.3
Roller, at Chicago	: 15.58	14.82	13.75				
Roller, New York, PPC "other brands"	: 13.25	12.92	12.50	::			
	••			••	••		
		Dollars		::	••		
				::			
Price per 40-quart can:							
Cream, Southeastern Pa., average	27. 50	27. 62	3/, 3/,				
mid-point of range (ch o hul)	76.43	70:47	76	• •			
Cream, Boston, Weighted average	/ <del>7</del>	/=	17				
1/ Data not available. 2/ No quotation	ч						
3/ Base years: 1960 data, 1936-40 and 19	9 <b>61</b> data, 1957-58.	957-58.					